

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,457	07/01/2003	Terence J. Knowles	14247US01	1622
75	90 01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
McANDREW	S, HELD & MALLOY	LEE, BENJAMIN C		
Northwestern Atrium Center 34th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
500 West Madis	son Street	2632		
Chicago, IL 60661			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
065 4.4'0	10/611,457	KNOWLES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin C. Lee	2632
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Second</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,5-42 and 59-82 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,43-53 and 55-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 54 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to the description of the description of the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the description. 	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/611,457

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

1. Claims 1-82 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 1) In claim 1, lines 3-4; claim 3, lines 4-5,, claim 4, lines 10-11; claim 43, lines 4-5, "an acoustic wave cavity" should have read --said acoustic wave cavity-- if referring to its antecedence already presented in the respective claims, i.e. not different from its antecedent term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 43-45, 47-53 and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. (US pat. #5,813,280).
- 1) Regarding claims 43 and 47-50: Johnson et al. discloses an acoustic wave resonator (Figs. 1-3) comprising: an acoustic wave cavity (section 101) formed in a substrate ("cylindrical")

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/611,457

Art Unit: 2632

body" as a whole) and defined by an area of increased mass (Fig. 2); a plurality of transducers positioned adjacent an acoustic wave cavity (Figs. 3a & 3b); a driving circuitry coupled to the transducers, the driving circuitry driving a first set of transducers to generate a first acoustic wave in the acoustic wave cavity and the driving circuitry driving a second set of the transducers to generate a second acoustic wave in the cavity (see disclosure of Figs. 3a-3b, 4 and 5a-5b); the first and second set of transducers are different, at least one of the transducers in the first set is not in the second set and at least one of the transducers in the second set is not in the first set (Figs. 3a-3b); wherein the polarity of at least one of the transducers in the first set is different from that of the transducers in the second set (by different orientations of the transducers in Figs. 3a-3b); whereby: The two resonator modes (flexural and torsional) with respect to the two set of transducers of Figs. 3a-3b are further combined in a single embodiment in Fig. 4 and col. 9, line 46 to col. 10, line 28, without specifying that the driving circuitry is in the form of the claimed controller. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to implement the driving circuitry of Johnson et al. using a controller.

- 2) Regarding claim 44, the claimed wherein at least one of the transducers is centered on a centerline of the acoustic wave cavity is met in Figs. 3a & 4 of Johnson et al.
- 3) Regarding claim 45, the claimed wherein at least one of the transducers is positioned off center with respect to a centerline of the cavity is met in Figs. 3b & 4 of Johnson et al.
- 4) Regarding claims 51-53 and 55-58, Johnson et al. render obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in the consideration of claims 43-45 and 47-50 above, wherein:

-- the claimed first and second events are stress/forces acted upon the resonator.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/611,457

Art Unit: 2632

- 6. Claims 2, 5-42 and 59-82 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 1 and 3-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. Claim 46 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 54 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The term "acoustic wave cavity" appearing in all of the claims has been specifically defined in the specification as a structure having an area of increased mass allowing trapping/resonance of acoustic wave(s) in the acoustic wave cavity (see, e.g. page 9 of the disclosure). As such, its structure allows for the inherent nature/degree of the acoustic wave characteristics and their interaction with substances for detection on a surface of the cavity to be different from prior art acoustic wave resonators/sensors of different structure, such as resonators/sensors utilizing acoustic waves traveling in a plate or those without the increased mass area conducive to acoustic wave trapping/resonance. While use of shear waves and compression/flexural acoustic waves for detection of ice and water are known in the art and there are suggestions of using torsional acoustic waves for substance detection and to prevent interference with other types of acoustic waves coexisting in resonators/sensors, the claimed

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/611,457

Art Unit: 2632

resonators/sensors having such an acoustic wave cavity in the context of the detail of the devices claimed are not taught or suggested in the prior art.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - 1) Hsu et al., US pat. #5,095,754
 - -- A similar acoustic ice detector using a buffer block 14.
 - 2) Liu et al., US pat. #5,456,114
 - -- A similar acoustic sensor of ice, temperature and other parameters.
 - 3) Sinha, US pat. #6,286,370
- --A similar acoustic cavity sensor using plate sensor distinguishing ice and water (col. 4, lines 41-59).
 - 4) Lynnworth, US pat. #3,540,265
 - -- A similar acoustic resonator/sensor using torsional and extensional waves.
 - 5) Bau et al., US pat. #4,893,496
 - -- A similar torsional wave fluid sensor and system.
 - 6) Schugt, US pat. #5,922,958
 - -- A similar acoustic surface-contaminant sensor.
 - 7) Brace et al., US pat. #5,051,645
 - -- A similar acoustic sensor distinguishing water and ice.
 - 8) Lynnworth, US pat. #5,159,838
 - -- A similar acoustic wave guide with various waves for parameter sensing.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,457

Art Unit: 2632

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin C. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2963. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Fri 11:00Am-7:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin C. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632

B.L.